

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE J United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/693,239	10/20/2000	Herbert Howell Waddell	IP-902	8560
7590 07/11/2005			EXAMINER	
ALBERT WAI-KIT CHAN				
WORLD PLAZA, SUITE 604				
141-07 20TH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WHITESTONE	E, NY 11357			

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	09/693,239
(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/693,239	WADDELL, HERBERT HOWELL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nathan S Mammen	3671		

The Appeal Brief filed on 02 May 2005 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. 🛚	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. 🛛	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🛚	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6. 🛛	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$).
10.🖾	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

The brief fails to comply with 37 CFR 41.37(c). The brief does not include Appendices IX (Evidence Appendix) or X (Related Proceedings Appendix). The brief includes a "summary of the invention" instead of the now-required "summary of claimed subject matter." In the summary of the invention (assuming this would be the summary of claimed subject matter), the brief fails to identify each means plus function limitation. The summary of the invention also fails to refer to the specification by page and line number, or the drawings by reference character, for each claimed function and structure. The brief includes two old headings, "Issues presented for review" and "grouping of claims," instead of the new required heading of "grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal." The argument section fails to include a separate heading for each ground of rejection.

Supervisor Vatent Examiner

Group 3600

⁻⁻The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--